arXiv:math/0607674v1 [math.AG] 26 Jul 2006

Functorial properties of the microsupport and regularity for ind-sheaves

Ana Rita Martins[1]

February 2, 2008

Abstract

The notion of microsupport and regularity for ind-sheaves was introduced by M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in [4]. In this paper we study the behaviour of the microsupport under several functorial operations and characterize "microlocally" the ind-sheaves that are regular along involutive manifolds. As an application we prove that if a coherent $\mathcal{D}$-module $\mathcal{M}$ is regular along an involutive manifold V (in the sense of [2]), then the ind-sheaf of temperate holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}$ is regular along V. Another application is the notion of microsupport for sheaves on the subanalytic site, which can be given directly, without using the more complicated language of ind-sheaves.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Notations and review **4**

---

[1] The research of the author was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia and FEDER (project POCTI-ISFL-1-143 of Centro de Algebra da Universidade de Lisboa).

3 Functorial properties of the microsupport and regularity for ind-sheaves 7
3.1 Application to $\mathcal{D}$-modules 21
3.2 Microsupport for sheaves on the subanalytic site 23

1 Introduction

Let X be a real manifold and $\mathbf{k}$ a field. In [5] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira gave a new perspective of sheaf theory with the introduction of the notion of microsupport. The microsupport $SS(F)$ of a sheaf F of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces on a manifold X is a closed conic subset of the cotagent bundle T^*X to X, describing the directions of non propagation for F.

Later, motivated by the fact that various objects in Analysis cannot be treated with sheaf theoretical methods, such as functions with growth conditions, the category of sheaves was enlarged to that of ind-sheaves and a corresponding notion of microsupport was introduced in [4]. Since this notion coincides with the classical one for sheaves on X, it is natural to ask if the functorial properties of the microsupport of classical sheaves still hold for ind-sheaves. Our aim in this paper is to study the behaviour of the microsupport under direct image of closed embeddings, smooth inverse images and $R\mathcal{I}hom(\cdot,\cdot)$. For $R\mathcal{I}hom(\cdot,\cdot)$ we were only able to treat the functor $R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1}(\cdot), q_1^!(\cdot))$, where q_1 and q_2 denote the first and second projection defined on $X \times Y$.

In [4], the authors gave an example showing that the estimate

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om(G,F)) \subset SS(F)\widehat{+}SS(G)^a,$$

proved for classical sheaves, doesn't work for ind-sheaves. This fact motivated the definition of regularity for ind-sheaves. In this paper, we also study this notion, characterizing "microlocally" the ind-sheaves that are regular along involutive manifolds. More precisely, we show the following: Let $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ be the bounded derived category of $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, the category of ind-sheaves on X, p an element of the cotagent bundle $\pi : T^*X \to X$ and

$D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X;p))$ the localization of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ by the subcategory of objects F such that $p \notin SS(F)$. Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism of manifolds. When f is a closed embedding and p belongs to the conormal bundle $T^*_Y X$ to Y in X, then $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ is regular along $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ at p if and only if $F \simeq Rj_*G$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X;p))$, for some $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$. When f is smooth and $p \in Y \times_X T^*X$, identifying $Y \times_X T^*X$ with a submanifold of T^*Y, then $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$ is regular along $Y \times_X T^*X$ at p if and only if $G \simeq f^{-1}F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y;p))$, for some $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$.

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{O}^t_X$ denote the ind-sheaf of temperate holomorphic functions on X. When $\mathcal{M}$ is a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module regular along an involutive manifold V, in the sense of [2], we prove that the ind-sheaf $Sol^t(\mathcal{M}) := R\mathcal{I}hom_{\beta_X(\mathcal{D}_X)}(\beta_X(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}^t_X)$ is regular along V. Moreover, when F is an $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaf, we may use the language of ind-sheaves to give an alternative (and easy) proof of the estimate

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, thom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)) \subset V \widehat{+} SS(F)^a,$$

given in [8].

Among all ind-sheaves, the ind-objects of the category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves are particularly interesting, since they may be constructed using Grothendieck topologies. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ denote the category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves with compact support. In [3] was given an equivalence between the category $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X) = \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ with the category $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ of sheaves on the subanalytic site X_{sa} associated to X. On the other hand, the natural exact functor $\mathcal{I} : \mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X) \to \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ induces an equivalence of triangulated categories $\mathcal{I} : D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)) \to D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$, where $D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ denotes the full subcategory of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ consisting of objects with cohomology in $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)$ (see [3]). Therefore, identifying $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$, the derived category of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$, with $D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X))$, there is a natural way to introduce the notion of microsupport for sheaves on X_{sa}, setting

$$SS(F) = SS(\mathcal{I}(F)),$$

for all $F \in \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. In this paper we also translate this definition of microsupport into several equivalent conditions free of the so complicated language of ind-sheaves. We apply to sheaves on X_{sa} our results on the functorial properties of the microsupport of ind-sheaves and prove the estimate:

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om(q_2^{-1}G, q_1^! F)) \subset SS(F) \times SS(G)^a,$$

for $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{Y_{sa}})$, q_1 and q_2 denoting the first and second projection defined on $X \times Y$.

We thank P. Schapira who suggested us to study these problems and to T. Monteiro Fernandes for useful discussions through the preparation of this work.

2 Notations and review

We will mainly follow the notations in [3] and [9]. In this paper, all manifolds will be real analytic.

Geometry. Let X be a real analytic manifold. We denote by $\pi : T^*X \to X$ the cotangent bundle to X and we identify X with the zero section of T^*X. Let M be a submanifold of X. We denote by T_MX (resp. T^*_MX) the normal bundle (resp. the conormal bundle) to M in X.

Given a subset A of T^*X, we denote by A^a the image of A by the antipodal map

$$a : (x;\xi) \mapsto (x;-\xi).$$

The closure of A is denoted by $\overline{A}$. If A is a locally closed subset of T^*X, we say that A is $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic (or simply "conic" for short) if it is locally invariant under the action of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

For a cone $\gamma \subset TX$, the polar cone γ° to γ is the convex cone in T^*X defined by

$$\gamma^\circ = \{(x;\xi) \in T^*X; x \in \pi(\gamma) \text{ and } \langle v, \xi\rangle \geq 0 \text{ for any } (x;v) \in \gamma\}.$$

When X is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and γ is a closed convex cone (with vertex at 0) in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we denote by X_γ the open set X endowed with the induced γ-topology of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and by ϕ_γ the natural continuous map from X to X_γ (see [5]). In this paper, all closed convex cones in $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be subanalytic.

Sheaves. Let X be a real analytic manifold and $\mathbf{k}$ be a field. We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the abelian category of sheaves of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces on X and by $D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ its bounded derived category. We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the abelian category of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves of $\mathbf{k}$-vector spaces on X and by $D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the full subcategory of $D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ consisting of objects with $\mathbb{R}$-constructible cohomology. Recall that the natural functor

$$D^b(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)) \to D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X),$$

is an equivalence of categories (see [1]). We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ of $\mathbb{R}$-constructible sheaves with compact support.

For an object $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$, one denotes by $SS(F)$ its *microsupport*, a closed $\mathbb{R}^+$-conic involutive subset of T^*X. We refer [5] for details.

Ind-sheaves. Let X be a real analytic manifold. One denotes by $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ the abelian category of ind-sheaves on X, that is, $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Mod}^c(\mathbf{k}_X))$, the category of ind-objects of the category $\mathrm{Mod}^c(\mathbf{k}_X)$ of sheaves with compact support on X.

Recall the natural faithful exact functor

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \iota_X : & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) & \to & \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X) \\ & F & \mapsto & \text{“}\varinjlim_{U \subset\subset X}\text{''} F_U \ (U \text{ open}). \end{array}$$

Thanks to ι_X we identify $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with a full abelian subcategory of $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ and $D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with a full subcategory of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$.

The functor ι_X admits an exact left adjoint

$$\begin{array}{rccc}\alpha_X : & \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \\ & F = \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”} F_i & \mapsto & \varinjlim_{i\in I} F_i.\end{array}$$

This functor also admits an exact fully faithful left adjoint $\beta_X : \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \to \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, which is not so easy to describe. However, when $Z = U \cap S$, with U open and S closed subsets of X, one has $\beta_X(\mathbf{k}_Z) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{S\subset W, V\subset\subset U}\text{”}\ \mathbf{k}_{V\cap\overline{W}}$, where W (resp. V) runs through the family of open neighborhoods of Z (resp. relatively compact open subsets of U).

We denote by J the functor $J : D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)) \to \mathrm{Ind}(D^b(\mathrm{Mod}^c(\mathbf{k}_X)))$ defined by:

$$J(F)(G) = \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G, F),$$

for every $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ and $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$.

Sheaves on the subanalytic site. We denote by $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ the category of open subanalytic subsets of X. One endows this category with a Grothendieck topology by deciding that a family $\{U_i\}_i$ in $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ is a covering of $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$ if, for any compact subset K of X, there exists a finite subfamily which covers $U \cap K$. One denotes by X_{sa} the site defined by this topology and, for $U \in \mathrm{Op}(X_{sa})$, we denote by U_{sa} the category $\mathrm{Op}(X_{sa}) \cap U$ with the topology induced by X_{sa}. We have an equivalence of categories $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^c_{sa}})$, where $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X^c_{sa}})$ notes the category of sheaves on the site $\mathrm{Op}^c(X_{sa})$, consisting of relatively compact subanalytic open subsets of X, with the topology induced by X_{sa}.

The category of sheaves on X_{sa} is denoted by $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. Recall that $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ is a Grothendieck category and, in particular, it has enough injective objects.

Let $\rho : X \to X_{sa}$ be the natural morphism of sites. We have functors

$$\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X) \underset{\rho^{-1}}{\overset{\rho_*}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}}),$$

and we still note by ρ_* the restriction of ρ_* to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ and to $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$.

Let $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)$ denote the category $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X))$. We may extend the functor $\rho_* : \mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ to $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)$, by setting:

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \lambda : & \mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X) & \to & \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}}) \\ & \text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} F_i & \mapsto & \varinjlim_i \rho_* F_i, \end{array}$$

and it is proved in [3] that λ is an equivalence of abelian categories. Most of the time, thanks to λ, we identify $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$.

On the other hand, the natural functor $\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X) \to \mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ gives rise to a functor $\mathcal{I} : \mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X) \to \mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, which induces an equivalence of triangulated categories:

$$\mathcal{I} : D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)) \to D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)), \tag{1}$$

where $D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ denotes the full subcategory of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ consisting of objects with cohomology in $\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)$.

Since the functor λ induces an equivalence from $D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X))$ to the bounded derived category $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ of $\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$, it gives rise to an equivalence still denoted by $\mathcal{I} : D(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}}) \to D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$. We refer [3] and [9] for a detailed study.

3 Functorial properties of the microsupport and regularity for ind-sheaves

The microsupport $SS(F)$ of an ind-sheaf F was defined in [4] by several equivalent definitions which we don't recall here (see Lemma 4.1 of [4]). We start this section with a new equivalent definition, which will be usefull in the study of the functorial properties of this geometric object.

Let γ be a closed convex proper cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. Denote by $q_1, q_2 : X \times X \to X$ the first and second projections and denote by $s : X \times X \to X$ the map $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$. For an open subset $W \subset X$, we define the functor $\Phi_{\gamma,W} : D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)) \to D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ by setting:

$$\Phi_{\gamma,W}(F) = Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)\cap q_1^{-1}(W)\cap q_2^{-1}(W)} \otimes q_2^{-1}F).$$

We shall write Φ_γ instead of $\Phi_{\gamma,X}$.

Let us denote by j the embedding $s^{-1}(\gamma) \to X \times X$ and set $\widetilde{q_i} = q_i \circ j$, for $i = 1, 2$. Note that $\Phi_\gamma(F) \simeq Rq_{1!!}(Rj_{!!}j^{-1}q_2^{-1}F) \simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}\widetilde{q}_2^{-1}F$, for all $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $p = (x_0; \xi_0) \in T^*X$ and $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$. Then $p \notin SS(F)$ if and only if there exist $F' \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$, with compact support and isomorphic to F in an open neighborhood of x_0, and a closed convex proper cone γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\xi_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$, such that $R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^!F' \simeq 0$, in a neighborhood of x_0.*

Proof. Suppose $p \notin SS(F)$. By Lemma 4.1 of [4], there exists a conic open neighborhood U of p in T^*X such that, for any $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with $\mathrm{supp}(G) \subset\subset \pi(U)$ and $SS(G) \subset U \cup T^*_XX$, one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G, F) = 0$. Let W be a relatively compact open neighborhood of x_0, γ a closed convex proper cone such that $\xi_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$, $W \times \gamma^\circ \subset U \cup T^*_XX$ and choose γ^a-open sets $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1$, with $\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0 \subset\subset W$ and $x_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0)$. Let I be a small and filtrant category and $I \to D^b(\mathbf{k}_X); i \mapsto F_i$ a functor such that $J(F) \simeq$ “$\varinjlim\limits_{i\in I}$” $J(F_i)$.

For each $j \in I$, set $H_j = R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^!R\Gamma_{\Omega_1\backslash\Omega_0}(F_j) \otimes \mathbf{k}_W$ and $G_j = R\widetilde{q}_{1!}\widetilde{q}_2^{-1}H_j \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\Omega_1\backslash\Omega_0}$. By Corollary 6.4.5 and Proposition 5.2.3 of [5], one has $SS(G_j) \subset \overline{\Omega_1\backslash\Omega_0} \times \gamma^\circ$, for all $j \in I$. Then, for each $j \in I$, we get, by assumption and the adjunction formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G_j, F) &\simeq \varinjlim_{i\in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)}(G_j, F_i) \simeq \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_{i\in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)}(H_j, R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^!R\Gamma_{\Omega_1\backslash\Omega_0}(F_i)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, for each $j \in I$, there exists $j \to i$ such that:

$$R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}R\Gamma_{\Omega_1\setminus\Omega_0}(F_j)|_W \to Rq_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}R\Gamma_{\Omega_1\setminus\Omega_0}(F_i)|_W,$$

is the zero morphism. Since, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$H^k(R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Omega_1\setminus\Omega_0}, F)) \simeq \text{``}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{''} H^k(R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}R\Gamma_{\Omega_1\setminus\Omega_0}(F_i)),$$

we get the desired result by taking $F' = R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\Omega_1\setminus\Omega_0}, F)$.

Coversely, let W be an open neighborhood of x_0 such that $F|_W \simeq F'|_W$ and $(R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}F')|_W \simeq 0$. For each $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$, with $\mathrm{supp}(G) \subset\subset W$ and $SS(G) \subset W \times \gamma^\circ$, one has $G \simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!}\widetilde{q}_2^{-1}G_W$, by Proposition 5.2.3 of [5]. Hence:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G, F') \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(R\widetilde{q}_{1!}\widetilde{q}_2^{-1}G_W, F') \simeq$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G_W, R\widetilde{q}_{2*}\widetilde{q}_1^{!}F') \simeq 0.$$

This implies that $p \notin SS(F)$, by Lemma 4.1 of [4]. q.e.d.

Let X and Y be two real manifolds. Let us now denote by q_1 and q_2 the first and second projection defined on $X \times Y$.

Let $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ and $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$. One sets

$$F \boxtimes G = q_1^{-1}F \otimes q_2^{-1}G,$$

and call this ind-sheaf the *external tensor product* of F and G.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ *and* $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$. *Then:*

$$SS(F \boxtimes G) = SS(F) \times SS(G).$$

Proof. We may assume X and Y are vector spaces. Let $(p, p') \notin SS(F) \times SS(G)$, with $p = (x_0; \xi_0)$ and $p' = (y_0; \eta_0)$. Assume, for example, that $p \notin SS(F)$. Let γ', $\varepsilon > 0$ and W satisfying the condition $(4a)$ in Lemma 4.1 of [4] for the ind-sheaf F. Set $\gamma = \gamma' \times \{0\}$ and let W' be a relatively compact open neighborhood of y_0.

Let $s : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to X \times Y$ be the map $(x, y, x', y') \mapsto (x - x', y - y')$, j the embedding $s^{-1}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow X \times Y$ and let $\widetilde{q}_1, \widetilde{q}_2 : s^{-1}(\gamma) \to X \times Y$ denote the first and second projections. One has:

$$\Phi_\gamma(F_W \boxtimes G_{W'}) \simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1}(F_W \boxtimes G_{W'}) \simeq$$

$$\simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}(\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1} q_1^{-1}(F_W) \otimes \widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1} q_2^{-1}(G_{W'})) \simeq$$

$$\simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}(\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1} q_1^{-1}(F_W) \otimes \widetilde{q}_1^{\,-1} q_2^{-1}(G_{W'})) \simeq$$

$$\simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}(\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1} q_1^{-1}(F_W)) \otimes q_2^{-1}(G_{W'}),$$

since $\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1}$ commutes with $\otimes$, $q_2 \circ \widetilde{q}_2 = q_2 \circ \widetilde{q}_1$ and applying Proposition 5.2.7 of [3]. Let now t denote the map $X \times X \to X; (x, x') \mapsto x - x'$, $\widetilde{p}_1, \widetilde{p}_2 : t^{-1}(\gamma') \to X$ denote the first and second projections and let $k : s^{-1}(\gamma) \to t^{-1}(\gamma')$ be the map $(x, y, x', y') \mapsto (x, x')$. Then:

$$R\widetilde{q}_{1!!}(\widetilde{q}_2^{\,-1} q_1^{-1}(F_W)) \simeq R\widetilde{q}_{1!!} k^{-1} \widetilde{p}_2^{\,-1}(F_W) \simeq q_1^{-1} R\widetilde{p}_{1!!}\widetilde{p}_2^{\,-1}(F_W),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the equality $q_1 \circ \widetilde{q}_2 = \widetilde{p}_2 \circ k$ and the second from Proposition 5.2.9 of [3]. Since $R\widetilde{p}_{1!!}\widetilde{p}_2^{\,-1}(F_W) \simeq \Phi_{\gamma'}(F_W)$, we obtain:

$$\Phi_{\gamma, W \times W'}(F \boxtimes G) \simeq (\Phi_\gamma(F_W \boxtimes G_{W'}))_{W \times W'} \simeq$$

$$\simeq (\Phi_{\gamma'}(F_W))_W \boxtimes G_{W'} \simeq \Phi_{\gamma', W}(F) \boxtimes G_{W'} \simeq 0,$$

which implies $(x_0, y_0; \xi_0, \eta_0) \notin SS(F \boxtimes G)$, by Lemma 4.1 of [4].

Conversely, let $(p, p') \in SS(F) \times SS(G)$. By Lemma 4.1 of [4], we may find a small and filtrant category I, integers $a \leq b$ and a functor $I \to C^{[a,b]}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_X)); i \mapsto F_i$, such that $J(F) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i \in I}$"$J(F_i)$ and, for all conic open neighborhood U of p in T^*X, there exists $i \in I$ such that every morphism $i \to i'$ in I induces a non-zero morphism $F_i \to F_{i'}$ in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_X; U)$. Similarly, we may find a small and filtrant category J, integers $a \leq b$ and a functor $J \to C^{[a,b]}(\mathrm{Mod}(\mathbf{k}_Y)); j \mapsto G_j$, such that $J(G) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{j \in J}$"$J(G_j)$ and, for all conic open neighborhood V of p' in T^*Y, there exists $j \in J$ such that every morphism $j \to j'$ in J induces a non-zero morphism $G_j \to G_{j'}$ in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_Y; V)$.

Then $J(F \boxtimes G) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i \in I, j \in J}$"$J(F_i \boxtimes G_j)$ and every morphism $(i,j) \to (i',j')$ in $I \times J$[1] induces a non-zero morphism $F_i \boxtimes G_j \to F_{i'} \boxtimes G_{j'}$ in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X \times Y}; U \times V)$. Since $U \times V$ forms a neighborhood system of (p,p'), we may conclude that $(p,p') \in SS(F \boxtimes G)$. q.e.d.

Let f be a morphism from Y to X. We denote by f_d and f_π the canonical morphisms (f_d was noted by ${}^tf'$ in [5]):

$$f_d : Y \times_X T^*X \to T^*Y \text{ and } f_\pi : Y \times_X T^*X \to T^*X.$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let M be a closed submanifold of X and let j denote the embedding $M \hookrightarrow X$. Let $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_M))$. Then,*

$$SS(Rj_*G) = j_\pi j_d^{-1}(SS(G)).$$

Proof. Let d denote the codimension of M. We may assume $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $M = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-d}$. Let $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a system of coordinates on X, set $x' = (x_1, ..., x_d)$, $x'' = (x_{d+1}, ..., x_n)$ and let $(x', x''; \xi', \xi'')$ be the associated coordinates on T^*X.

Let $(x_0', x_0''; \xi_0', \xi_0'') \notin j_\pi j_d^{-1}(SS(G))$. We may assume $x_0' = 0$. Hence, $(x_0''; \xi_0'') \notin SS(G)$ and we may find a closed convex proper cone γ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-d}$, with $\xi_0'' \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^{\circ a})$, and an open neighborhood W of $(0, x_0'')$ in M such that, for all $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_M)$, with $\mathrm{supp}(F) \subset\subset W$ and $SS(F) \subset W \times \gamma^{\circ a}$, one has $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_M))}(F, G) = 0$. Let V be an open neighborhood of $(0, x_0'')$ in X such that $W = V \cap M$. For each $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ such that $\mathrm{supp}(F) \subset\subset V$ and $SS(F) \subset V \times (\mathbb{R}^d \times \gamma^{\circ a})$, one has, by Theorem 5.2.4 of [3]:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(F, Rj_*G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_M))}(j^{-1}F, G).$$

---

[1] We denote by $I \times J$ the product of the categories I and J. See [6].

Since $\mathrm{supp}(j^{-1}F) \subset\subset W$ and $SS(j^{-1}F) \subset W \times \gamma^{\circ a}$, it follows from the hypothesis that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(F, Rj_*G) \simeq 0.$$

Conversely, let $p = (x_0; \xi_0) \notin SS(Rj_*G)$. We may assume $x_0 \in M$. Take γ and W satisfying the condition $(4a)$ for the ind-sheaf Rj_*G. By Proposition 5.2.9 of [3], we may prove that

$$0 \simeq j^{-1}\Phi_{\gamma,W}(Rj_*G) \simeq \Phi_{\gamma\cap M, W\cap M}(G).$$

From this we get $(x_0''; \xi_0'') \notin SS(G)$. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.4. *Let Y, X be real analytic manifolds, $f\colon Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism and $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$. Then*

$$SS(f^{-1}F) = f_d f_\pi^{-1}(SS(F).$$

Proof. We may assume $Y = X \times Z$, f is the first projection and that Y, X and Z are vector spaces. Then, $f^{-1}F \simeq F \boxtimes \mathbf{k}_Z$ and the desired equality follows from Proposition 3.2. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ and $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$. Then:*

$$SS(R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1}G, q_1^!F)) \subset SS(F) \times SS(G)^a.$$

Proof. We may assume X and Y are vector spaces. Let $p = (x_0, y_0; \xi_0, \eta_0) \notin SS(F) \times SS(G)^a$.

First assume $(x_0; \xi_0) \notin SS(F)$. By Lemma 3.1, there exist a proper closed convex cone γ', with $\xi \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma'^\circ)$, and $F' \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ with compact support and isomorphic to F in a neighborhood of x_0 such that $R\widetilde{p_2}_*\widetilde{p_1}^!F' \simeq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0, where t denotes the map $X \times X \to X; (x, x') \mapsto x - x'$ and $\widetilde{p_1}, \widetilde{p_2} : t^{-1}(\gamma') \to X$ denote the first and second projections.

Set $\gamma := \gamma' \times \{0\}$ and let W' be a relatively compact open neighborhood of y_0. Denote by $s : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to X \times Y$ the map $(x, y, x', y') \mapsto (x - x', y - y')$, by j the embedding $s^{-1}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y)$, by

$\widetilde{q_1}, \widetilde{q_2} : s^{-1}(\gamma) \to X \times Y$ the first and second projections and let k denote the map $(X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to X \times X; (x, y, x', y') \mapsto (x, x')$. We shall prove that:

$$R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1} G_{W'}, q_1^! F') \simeq 0, \text{ in a neighborhood of } (x_0, y_0). \tag{2}$$

By Propositions 5.3.8 and 5.2.3 of [3], one has:

$$R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1} G_{W'}, q_1^! F') \simeq R\widetilde{q_2}_* R\mathcal{I}hom(\widetilde{q_1}^{-1} q_2^{-1} G_{W'}, \widetilde{q_1}^! q_1^! F') \simeq$$

$$\simeq R\widetilde{q_2}_* R\mathcal{I}hom(\widetilde{q_2}^{-1} q_2^{-1} G_{W'}, \widetilde{q_1}^! q_1^! F') \simeq R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1} G_{W'}, R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! q_1^! F'),$$

and

$$R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! q_1^! F' \simeq R\widetilde{q_2}_* (k \circ j)^! \widetilde{p_1}^! F' \simeq q_1^! R\widetilde{p_2}_* \widetilde{p_1}^! F',$$

where the last isomorphism follows from Proposition 5.3.10 of [3]. By assumption, $q_1^! R\widetilde{p_2}_* \widetilde{p_1}^! F' \simeq 0$, in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0), which entails (2).

Now assume $(y_0; \eta_0) \notin SS(G)$. Take a cone γ', with $\eta_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$, and $G' \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ with compact support and isomorphic to G in a neighborhood of y_0 such that $\Phi_{\gamma'}(G') \simeq 0$ in a neighborhood of y_0. Set $\gamma := \{0\} \times \gamma'^a$ and let W be a relatively compact open neighborhood of x_0. Denote by $s : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to X \times Y$ the map $(x, y, x', y') \mapsto (x - x', y - y')$, by j the embedding $s^{-1}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y)$ and by $\widetilde{q_1}, \widetilde{q_2} : s^{-1}(\gamma) \to X \times Y$ the first and second projections. Let us prove that

$$R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1} G', q_1^! F_W) \simeq 0,$$

in a neighborhood of (x_0, y_0).

Let now $k : (X \times Y) \times (X \times Y) \to Y \times Y$ be the map $(x, y, x', y') \mapsto (y', y)$, t denote the map $Y \times Y \to Y; (y, y') \mapsto y - y'$ and $\widetilde{p_1}, \widetilde{p_2} : t^{-1}(\gamma') \to Y$ denote the first and second projections. By Propositions 5.3.8 and 5.3.5 of [3], one has:

$$R\widetilde{q_2}_* \widetilde{q_1}^! R\mathcal{I}hom(q_2^{-1} G', q_1^! F_W) \simeq R\widetilde{q_2}_* R\mathcal{I}hom(\widetilde{q_1}^{-1} q_2^{-1} G', \widetilde{q_1}^! q_1^! F_W) \simeq$$

$$\simeq R\widetilde{q}_{2*}R\mathcal{I}hom(\widetilde{q}_1^{\,-1}q_2^{-1}G', \widetilde{q}_2^{\,!}q_1^!F_W) \simeq R\mathcal{I}hom(R\widetilde{q}_{2!!}\widetilde{q}_1^{\,-1}q_2^{-1}G', q_1^!F_W),$$

and by Proposition 5.2.9 of [3]:

$$R\widetilde{q}_{2!!}\widetilde{q}_1^{\,-1}q_2^{-1}G' \simeq R\widetilde{q}_{2!!}(k\circ j)^{-1}\widetilde{p}_2^{\,-1}G' \simeq q_2^{-1}R\widetilde{p}_{1!!}\widetilde{p}_2^{\,-1}G' \simeq q_2^{-1}\Phi_{\gamma'}(G').$$

The result follows. q.e.d.

Recall that is possible to localize the category $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ with respect to the microsupport as for classical sheaves. In fact, given $V \subset T^*X$, one sets: $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X;\Omega)) = D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))/D^b_V(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$, where $\Omega = T^*X\backslash V$ and $D^b_V(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ is the full triangulated subcategory of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ consisting of objects F such that $SS(F) \subset V$.

We shall now prove the counterpart for ind-sheaves of the microlocal characterization of classical sheaves whose microsupport is contained in an involutive manifold.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be a morphism of manifolds.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume f is a closed embedding and identify Y with a submanifold of X.*

(i) Let $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$. Then Rf_*G is regular along $\pi^{-1}(Y)$.

(ii) Let $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ and assume F is regular along $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ at $p \in T^*_YX$. Then there exists $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$ such that $F \simeq Rf_*G$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X;p))$.

Proof. (i) Consider a small and filtrant category I and a functor $I \to C^{[a,b]}(\mathbf{k}_Y); i \mapsto G_i$ such that $G \simeq Q(\text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”}G_i)$. Since f is proper on the support of G one has, by Theorem 3.1 of [4] together with Proposition 2.3.2 of [7], $J(Rf_*G) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”}J(Rf_*G_i)$ and, for each $i \in I$,

$$SS(Rf_*G_i) \subset \pi^{-1}(Y).$$

It follows that Rf_*G is regular along $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ at each $p \in T^*X$.

(ii) The proof is an adaptation of the proof of Proposition 6.6.1 of [5].

By hypothesis, there exist F' isomorphic to F in a neighborhood of $\pi(p)$, a conic open neighborhood U of p, a small and filtrant category I and a functor $I \to D^{[a,b]}(\mathbf{k}_X); i \mapsto F_i$ such that $J(F') \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”} J(F_i)$ and $SS(F_i) \cap U \subset \pi^{-1}(Y)$, for all $i \in I$. We may assume from the beginning that $J(F) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”} J(F_i)$.

If $p \in T^*_X X$, then there exists an open neighborhood W of $\pi(p)$ such that $\pi^{-1}(W) \subset U$ and

$$F_i|_W \simeq (F_i)_Y|_W, \text{ for all } i \in I. \tag{3}$$

One has the following distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$:

$$F \otimes \mathbf{k}_{X\setminus Y} \to F \to F \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y \xrightarrow{+1},$$

with $J(F \otimes \mathbf{k}_{X\setminus Y}) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”} J((F_i)_{X\setminus Y})$, by Proposition 2.3.2 of [7], and $SS((F_i)_{X\setminus Y}) \cap \pi^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$, by (3), for each $i \in I$. Hence, $SS(F \otimes \mathbf{k}_{X\setminus Y}) \cap \pi^{-1}(W) = \emptyset$, by Lemma 4.1 of [4]. It follows that $F \simeq F \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y \simeq Rj_* j^{-1} F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X; p))$.

Now assume $p \in \dot{T}^*_Y X$. We shall argue by induction on the codimension of Y and prove that there exist a conic open neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of p, $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $F \simeq G \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X; U'))$ and $J(G) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”} J(G_i)$, for some $G_i \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ such that $SS(G_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y) \cap U' = SS(F_i) \cap U'$, for all $i \in I$.

Let us first assume Y is a hypersurface. Let $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a system of local coordinates of X in a neighborhood of $\pi(p)$ such that

$$Y = \{(x_1, ..., x_n); x_1 = 0\},$$

and let $(x_1, ..., x_n; \xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$ denote the associated coordinates in T^*X. Set $x' = (x_2, ..., x_n)$, $x = (x_1, x')$, $\xi' = (\xi_2, ..., \xi_n)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi')$, $\Omega^{\pm} = \{(x_1, ..., x_n); \pm x_1 > 0\}$ and denote by $j_{\pm}$ the open embeddings $\Omega^{\pm} \hookrightarrow X$. We may assume

$p = (0; 1, 0, ..., 0)$ and that $U = W \times \mathrm{Int}\gamma$, where W is an open neighborhood of 0 and γ is the cone $\{(\xi_1, \xi'); \xi_1 \geq \delta|\xi'|\}$, for some $\delta > 0$.

Let us consider the following distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$:

$$R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1 \geq 0\}}, F) \to F \to R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1 < 0\}}, F) \xrightarrow{+1} . \tag{4}$$

By Proposition 3.8 of [4], we have

$$J(R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1<0\}}, F)) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} J(R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1<0\}}, F_i)) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} J(R\mathcal{H}om(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1<0\}}, F_i)) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_i\text{”} J(Rj_{-*}j_-^{-1}(F_i)).$$

Let us prove that $U \cap SS(Rj_{-*}j_-^{-1}F_i) = \emptyset$, for all $i \in I$.

By Theorem 6.3.1 of [5],

$$SS(Rj_{-*}j_-^{-1}F_i) \subset SS(j_-^{-1}F_i) \widehat{+} N^*(\Omega^-), \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

Let $i \in I$ and assume that there exists $(x_0; \xi_0) \in U \cap (SS(j_-^{-1}F_i) \widehat{+} N^*(\Omega^-))$. Let $\{(x_n; \xi_n)\}_n$ (resp. $\{(y_n; \eta_n)\}_n$) be a sequence in $SS(j_-^{-1}F_i)$ (resp. in $N^*(\Omega^-)$), such that:

$$\begin{cases} x_n, y_n \underset{n}{\longrightarrow} x_0, \\ \xi_n + \eta_n \underset{n}{\longrightarrow} \xi_0, \\ |x_n - y_n||\xi_n| \underset{n}{\longrightarrow} 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $U \cap SS(j_-^{-1}F_i) \subset \pi^{-1}(Y) \cap T^*\Omega^- = \emptyset$, one has $(x_n; \xi_n) \notin U$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, since the sequence $\{x_n\}_n$ converges to x_0, $x_n \in \pi(U)$, for $n \gg 1$, and hence, $\xi_n \notin \mathrm{Int}\gamma$, for $n \gg 1$. Moreover, if the sequence $\{\eta_n\}_n$ converge to 0, then the sequence $\{(x_n; \xi_n)\}_n$ will converge to $(x_0; \xi_0)$ and we must have $(x_n; \xi_n) \in U$, for a sufficiently large n, which is a contradiction. Hence, after replacing the sequences by convenient subsequences, we may assume $\xi_n \notin \mathrm{Int}\gamma$, $y_n \in \partial\Omega^-$, $\eta'_n = 0$ and $\eta_{n,1} \leq 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this we get that:

$$\xi_{0,1} = \varinjlim_n(\xi_{n,1} + \eta_{n,1}) \leq \varinjlim_n \delta|\xi'_n| = \delta|\xi'_0|,$$

which is a contradiction. It follows that $SS(R\Gamma_{\{x_1\geq 0\}}(F_i))\cap U = SS(F_i)\cap U$, for all $i\in I$, and $SS(R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1<0\}},F))\cap U=\emptyset$. Moreover, $F\simeq R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1\geq 0\}},F)$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X,U))$.

Set $G=R\mathcal{I}hom(\mathbf{k}_{\{x_1\geq 0\}},F)$. One has:

$$J(G\otimes \mathbf{k}_{X\setminus Y})\simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i}\text{”} J(Rj_{+!}j_+^{-1}(R\Gamma_{\{x_1\geq 0\}}(F_i))),$$

and we may prove as above that $U\cap SS(Rj_{+!}j_+^{-1}(R\Gamma_{\{x_1\geq 0\}}(F_i)))=\emptyset$, for all $i\in I$, which entails $SS(G\otimes\mathbf{k}_{X\setminus Y})\cap U=\emptyset$ and $SS(R\Gamma_{\{x_1\geq 0\}}(F_i)\otimes\mathbf{k}_Y)\cap U = SS(F_i)\cap U$, for all $i\in I$. Moreover, $F\simeq G\otimes\mathbf{k}_Y$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X,U))$.

Let us now assume that Y is a submanifold of X of codimension $m\geq 1$ and let $(x_1,...,x_n)$ be a system of local coordinates of X in a neighborhood of $\pi(p)$ such that $Y=\{(x_1,...,x_n);x_1=...=x_m=0\}$ and let $(x_1,...,x_n;\xi_1,...,\xi_n)$ denote the associated coordinates in T^*X. We may assume $p=(0;1,0,...0)$. Let Y' be the submanifold of X:

$$\{(x_1,...,x_n)\in X; x_1=...=x_{m-1}=0\},$$

and let us denote by ι (resp. k) the embedding $Y'\hookrightarrow X$ (resp. $Y\hookrightarrow Y'$).

Since $\pi^{-1}(Y)\subset\pi^{-1}(Y')$, by induction, there exist a conic open neighborhood $U'\subset U$ of p, $G\in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $G\otimes\mathbf{k}_{Y'}\simeq F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X;U'))$ and $J(G)\simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”}J(G_i)$, for some $G_i\in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with $SS(G_i\otimes\mathbf{k}_{Y'})\cap U' = SS(F_i)\cap U'$, for all $i\in I$. Since

$$SS(G_i\otimes\mathbf{k}_{Y'})=SS(\iota_*\iota^{-1}G_i)=\iota_\pi\iota_d^{-1}(SS(\iota^{-1}G_i)),$$

we get, $SS(\iota^{-1}G_i)\cap\iota_d\iota_\pi^{-1}(U')\subset\pi^{-1}(Y)$, for all $i\in I$, where $\iota_d\iota_\pi^{-1}(U')$ is a conic open neighborhood of $p'=(0;1,0,...,0)\in\dot{T}^*_YY'$.

Since Y is a hypersurface in Y', we may find a conic open neighborhood $V\subset\iota_d\iota_\pi^{-1}(U')$ of p', $H\in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_{Y'}))$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_{Y'};V))$ such that $H\otimes\mathbf{k}_Y\simeq\iota^{-1}G$ and $J(H)\simeq\text{“}\varinjlim_{i\in I}\text{”}J(H_i)$, for some $H_i\in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{Y'})$ with $SS(H_i\otimes\mathbf{k}_Y)\cap V=SS(\iota^{-1}G_i)\cap V$, for all $i\in I$. We may assume $V=(V_1\cap Y')\times V_2$, for some open neighborhood V_1 (resp. V_2) of 0 in X (resp. of $(1, ..., 0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n-m+1}$). Set $V' = V_1 \times (\mathbb{R}^{m-1} \times V_2) \subset T^*X$. It follows by Proposition 3.3 that $\iota_* H \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y \simeq G \otimes \mathbf{k}_{Y'}$. Moreover, $SS(\iota_* H_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y) \cap V' = SS(\iota_*(H_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y)) \cap V' = \iota_\pi \iota_d^{-1}(SS(H_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_Y) \cap V) = \iota_\pi \iota_d^{-1}(SS(\iota^{-1} G_i) \cap V) = SS(\iota_* \iota^{-1} G_i) \cap V' = SS(G_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_{Y'}) \cap V'$. The result follows. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.7. *Assume f is smooth and identify $Y \times_X T^*X$ with a submanifold of T^*Y.*

(i) Let $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$. Then $f^{-1}F$ is regular along $Y \times_X T^*X$.

(ii) Let $G \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$, $p \in Y \times_X T^*X$ and assume G is regular along $Y \times_X T^*X$ at p. Then there exists $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $G \simeq f^{-1}F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y; p))$.

Proof. (i) Consider a small and filtrant category I and a functor $I \to C^{[a,b]}(\mathbf{k}_X); i \mapsto F_i$ such that $F \simeq Q(\text{“}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{”} F_i)$. Then, Theorem 3.2 of [4] together with Proposition 2.3.2 [7] entails $J(f^{-1}F) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{”} J(f^{-1}F_i)$ and one has

$$SS(f^{-1}F_i) \subset Y \times_X T^*X, \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

It follows that $f^{-1}F$ is regular along $Y \times_X T^*X$ at each $p \in T^*Y$.

(ii) The proof is an adaptation of Proposition 6.6.2 of [5].

By the assumption, there exist G' isomorphic to G in a neighborhood of $\pi(p)$, a conic open neighborhood U of p, a small and filtrant category I and a functor $I \to D^{[a,b]}(\mathbf{k}_Y); i \mapsto G_i$ such that $J(G') \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{”} J(G_i)$ and $SS(G_i) \cap U \subset Y \times_X T^*X$, for all $i \in I$. Since $J(G_i) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{U \subset\subset Y}\text{”} J((G_i)_U)$, where U runs through the family of relatively compact open subsets of Y, we may assume from the beginning that $J(G) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{”} J(G_i)$ and G_i has compact support, for all $i \in I$. We may also assume that $Y = Z \times X$, that f is the projection $Z \times X \to X$ and that Y, Z, X are vector spaces.

We shall prove, by induction on $\dim Z$, that there exist a conic open neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of p and $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $f^{-1}F \simeq G$

in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y; U'))$ and $J(F) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i\in I}$"$J(F_i)$, for some $F_i \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$, with $SS(f^{-1}F_i) \cap U' = SS(G_i) \cap U'$, for all $i \in I$.

Let us first suppose that $\dim Z = 1$. We may assume $Y = \mathbb{R}^n$, $X = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $p = (0; \xi_0)$ and $\xi_0 = (0, \xi_0')$. Denote by $q_1, q_2 : Y \times Y \to Y$ the first and second projections and denote by $s : Y \times Y \to Y$ the map $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$.

If $\xi_0 = 0$, there exists an open neighborhood W of 0 such that $\pi^{-1}(W) \subset U$. Let γ be the closed cone $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega_1$ be two γ-open sets such that $\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0 \subset\subset W$ and $0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0)$. Set $G' = G \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0}$ and $G_i' = G_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_{\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0}$, for all $i \in I$. One has $J(G') \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i\in I}$"$J(G_i')$ and, by assumption, $SS(G_i') \subset Y \times_X T^*X$, for all $i \in I$. By the microlocal cut-off Lemma (Proposition 5.2.3 of [5]), one has $G_i' \simeq \phi_\gamma^{-1} R\phi_{\gamma *} G_i'$, for all $i \in I$.

Let us consider the following distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y))$:

$$Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}G') \to G' \to Rq_{1!!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}G') \xrightarrow{+1},$$

where K denotes the complex $\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \to \mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(0)}$, with $\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(0)}$ in degree 0.

Since q_1 is proper on the support of $q_2^{-1}G_i'$, for all $i \in I$, one has by Proposition 2.3.2 of [7]:

$$J(Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}G')) \simeq \text{``}\varinjlim_{i}\text{''} J(Rq_{1!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}G_i')),$$

and

$$J(Rq_{1!!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}G')) \simeq \text{``}\varinjlim_{i}\text{''} J(Rq_{1!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}G_i')).$$

Moreover, $Rq_{1!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}G_i') \simeq \phi_\gamma^{-1} R\phi_{\gamma *} G_i' \simeq G_i'$, for all $i \in I$, which entails $Rq_{1!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}G_i') \simeq 0$. On the other hand, by Theorem 5.2.9 of [3], one has:

$$Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}G') \simeq f^{-1}Rf_{!!}G'.$$

Setting $W' = \mathrm{Int}(\Omega_1 \backslash \Omega_0)$, we conclude that $G|_{W'} \simeq f^{-1}Rf_{!!}G'|_{W'}$ and $SS(f^{-1}Rf_!G_i') \cap \pi^{-1}(W') = SS(G_i') \cap \pi^{-1}(W') = SS(G_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W')$, for all $i \in I$.

Now assume $\xi_0 \neq 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and set $H_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle x; \xi_0 \rangle > -\varepsilon\}$. Let γ be a proper closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\xi_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^{\circ a})$, and let W be an open neighborhood of 0 which is the intersection of H_ε and a γ-open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, for some $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, such that $W \times \gamma^{\circ a} \backslash \{0\} \subset U$. Then, for all $i \in I$:

$$SS(G_i) \cap (W \times \gamma^{\circ a}) \subset Y \times_X T^*X.$$

Set $G'_i = \phi_\gamma^{-1} R\phi_{\gamma *}(G_{i,H_\varepsilon})$, for all $i \in I$. Arguing as M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira in the proof of Proposition 6.6.2 of [5], we may prove that $SS(G'_i) \cap (W \times \gamma^{\circ a}) \subset Y \times_X T^*X$, for all $i \in I$, which is equivalent, by Proposition 5.2.3 of [5], to $SS(G'_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W) \subset Y \times_X T^*X$.

Let us now set $G' = Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma^a)} \otimes q_2^{-1}(G \otimes \mathbf{k}_{H_\varepsilon}))$. Arguing as in the previous case ($\xi = 0$) we may prove that there exist an open neighborhood $W' \subset W \cap H_\varepsilon$ of 0 and $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $G'|_{W'} \simeq f^{-1}F|_{W'}$ and $J(F) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim\limits_{i \in I}$"$J(F_i)$, for some $F_i \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with $SS(f^{-1}F_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W') = SS(G'_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W')$, for all $i \in I$. On the other hand, one has a distinguished triangle

$$G' \to G \otimes \mathbf{k}_{H_\varepsilon} \to Rq_{1!!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1} G_{H_\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{+1},$$

where K denotes the complex $\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma^a)} \to \mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(0)}$, with $\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(0)}$ in degree 0. Moreover, one has

$$J(G') \simeq \text{``}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{''} J(G'_i),$$

$$J(Rq_{1!!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1} G_{H_\varepsilon})) \simeq \text{``}\varinjlim_{i \in I}\text{''} J(Rq_{1!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}(G_i)_{H_\varepsilon})),$$

and $SS(Rq_{1!}(K \otimes q_2^{-1}(G_i)_{H_\varepsilon})) \cap (Y \times \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^{\circ a})) = \emptyset$, for all $i \in I$. It follows that $G \simeq f^{-1}F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y; W' \times \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^{\circ a}))$ and $SS(f^{-1}F_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W') = SS(G_i) \cap \pi^{-1}(W')$, for all $i \in I$.

Let us now suppose $\dim Z = m \geq 1$. We may assume $Z = \mathbb{R}^m$, $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, $p = (0; \xi_0)$ and $\xi_0 = (0, \xi'_0)$. Set $X' = \mathbb{R} \times X$ and let h (resp. g) denote the projection $Y \to X'; (z', z'', x) \mapsto (z'', x)$ (resp. $X' \to X; (z'', x) \mapsto x$). Since $Y \times_X T^*X \subset Y \times_{X'} T^*X'$, by induction, there exist an open neighborhood

$U' \subset U$ of p and $F \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_{X'}))$ such that $h^{-1}F \simeq G$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y; U'))$ and $J(F) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i\in I}$"$J(F_i)$, for some $F_i \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X'})$ with $SS(h^{-1}F_i) \cap U' = SS(G_i) \cap U'$, for all $i \in I$. Since, for each $i \in I$, $SS(h^{-1}F_i) = h_d h_\pi^{-1}(SS(F_i))$, it follows that $SS(F_i) \cap h_\pi h_d^{-1}(U') \subset X' \times_X T^*X$.

By the case $m = 1$, we conclude that there exist an open neighborhood $V \subset h_\pi h_d^{-1}(U')$ of $(0; 0, \xi'_0) \in T^*X'$ and $H \in D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $g^{-1}H \simeq F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_{X'}; V))$ and $J(H) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i\in I}$"$J(H_i)$, for some $H_i \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X'})$ with $SS(g^{-1}H_i) \cap V = SS(F_i) \cap V$, for all $i \in I$.

Set $V' = \{(z', z'', x; \xi', \xi'', \eta) \in T^*Y; (z'', x; \xi'', \eta) \in V\}$. By Proposition 3.4, one has $f^{-1}H \simeq h^{-1}F$ in $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_Y, V'))$ and $SS(f^{-1}H_i) \cap V' = SS(h^{-1}g^{-1}H_i) \cap V' = h_d h_\pi^{-1}(SS(g^{-1}H_i) \cap V) = h_d h_\pi^{-1}(SS(F_i) \cap V) = SS(h^{-1}F_i) \cap V'$. The result follows. q.e.d.

3.1 Application to $\mathcal{D}$-modules

Let X be a complex manifold and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}$-module. We denote by $Char(\mathcal{M})$ the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}$, by $\mathcal{O}_X^t$ the ind-sheaf of temperate holomorphic functions on X and we set for short:

$$Sol^t(\mathcal{M}) = R\mathcal{I}hom_{\beta_X(\mathcal{D}_X)}(\beta_X(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{O}_X^t).$$

It is proved in [4] the equality

$$SS(Sol^t(\mathcal{M})) = Char(\mathcal{M}),$$

and that $Sol^t(\mathcal{M})$ is regular if $\mathcal{M}$ is regular holonomic. We shall now prove the following:

Proposition 3.8. *If $\mathcal{M}$ is regular along an involutive vector subbundle V of T^*X, then $Sol^t(\mathcal{M})$ is regular along V.*

Proof. We may assume $X = Z \times Y$, for some complex manifolds Z and Y, that f is the projection $X \to Y$ and that $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$. By Lemma 3.6

of [8] we may also assume $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_{X \to Y}$. Then, by Lemma 7.4.8 of [3], one has:

$$Sol^t(\mathcal{M}) \simeq f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y^t,$$

and the result follows by Proposition 3.7. q.e.d.

As another application we obtain a new proof of the following result of [8]:

Theorem 3.9. *Let V be an involutive vector subbundle of T^*X. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$-module regular along V and let $F \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbb{C}_X)$. We have the estimate:*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, thom(F, \mathcal{O}_X)) \subset V \widehat{+} SS(F)^a.$$

Proof. We may assume $X = Z \times Y$, for some complex manifolds Z and Y, that f is the projection $X \to Y$ and that $V = X \times_Y T^*Y$.

One has

$$R\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, thom(F, \mathcal{O}_X) \simeq R\mathcal{H}om(F, Sol^t(\mathcal{M})) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \alpha_X(R\mathcal{I}hom(F, Sol^t(\mathcal{M}))).$$

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.8, it is enough to prove the estimate

$$SS(R\mathcal{I}hom(F, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y^t)) \subset V \widehat{+} SS(F)^a.$$

Let us consider a filtrant inductive system G_i in $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}^c(\mathbf{k}_X))$ such that $J(\mathcal{O}_Y^t) \simeq$ "$\varinjlim_{i \in I}$"$J(G_i)$. Then:

$$J(R\mathcal{I}hom(F, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y^t)) \simeq \text{“}\varinjlim_{i}\text{”} J(R\mathcal{I}hom(F, f^{-1}G_i))$$

and

$$SS(R\mathcal{I}hom(F, f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y^t)) \subset \bigcap_{J \subset I} \overline{\bigcup_{j \in J} (SS(F)^a \widehat{+} SS(f^{-1}G_j))},$$

where J runs over the family of cofinal subcategories of I (see [4]). Since $SS(f^{-1}G_j) \subset V$, for all $j \in I$, the desired estimate follows. q.e.d.

3.2 Microsupport for sheaves on the subanalytic site

Let γ be a closed convex proper cone in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset. Denote by $q_1, q_2 : X \times X \to X$ the first and second projections and denote by $s : X \times X \to X$ the map $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$. For an open subanalytic subset $W \subset X$ we define the functor $\Phi_{\gamma,W}$ in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ by setting:

$$\Phi_{\gamma,W}(F) = Rq_{1!!}(\rho_* \mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)\cap q_1^{-1}(W)\cap q_2^{-1}(W)} \otimes q_2^{-1}F),$$

and we write Φ_γ instead of $\Phi_{\gamma,X}$.

Lemma 3.10. *Let X be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. For every closed convex proper cone γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, one has*

$$\Phi_\gamma(\mathcal{I}(F)) \simeq \mathcal{I}(\Phi_\gamma(F)).$$

Proof. Recall that, for any real analytic map $f : X \to Y$, the functor $\mathcal{I}$ commutes with the functors $Rf_{!!}$ and f^{-1}. Hence, given a closed convex proper cone γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, one has:

$$\Phi_\gamma(\mathcal{I}(F)) = Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}\mathcal{I}(F)) \simeq Rq_{1!!}(\mathcal{I}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}F)) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \mathcal{I}(Rq_{1!!}(\mathbf{k}_{s^{-1}(\gamma)} \otimes q_2^{-1}F)) = \mathcal{I}(\Phi_\gamma(F)).$$

q.e.d.

Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. The microsupport of F, denoted by $SS(F)$, is the closed conic subset of T^*X defined by the equality:

$$SS(F) = SS(\mathcal{I}(F)).$$

Proposition 3.11. *Assume X is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ and $p \in T^*X$, with $p = (x_0; \xi_0)$. The conditions $(i) - (iv)$ bellow are all equivalent.*

(i) There exists a conic open neighborhood U of p in T^*X such that for any $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$, with $\mathrm{supp}(G) \subset\subset \pi(U)$ *and $SS(G) \subset U \cup T^*_X X$, one has* $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})}(R\rho_* G, F) = 0$.

(ii) There exist a closed convex proper cone γ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\xi_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\gamma^\circ)$, and a relatively compact open subanalytic neighborhood W of x_0, such that $\Phi_{\gamma,W}(F) \simeq 0$.

(iii) There exist $F' \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ with compact support, $F' \simeq F$ in an open subanalytic neighborhood of x_0 and a closed convex proper cone γ as in (ii) such that $\Phi_\gamma(F') \simeq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0.

(iv) There exist $F' \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ with compact support such that $F' \simeq F$ in an open subanalytic neighborhood of x_0 and a closed convex proper cone γ as in (ii) such that $Rq_{2*}R\Gamma_{s^{-1}(\gamma)}(q_1^! F') \simeq 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0.

Proof. The proof of $(i) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$ is analogous to the proof of Lemma 3.1.
$(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$ follows by Lemma 4.1 of [4] together with Proposition 3.1. In fact, for each $G \in D^b_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X)$ with compact support, we may choose $G' \in D^b(\mathrm{Mod}^c_{\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ quasi-isomorphic to G. From the equivalence of categories

$$D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}}) \simeq D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X)),$$

and since $D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$ is a full subcategory of $D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))$, one gets that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})}(R\rho_* G, F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})}(\rho_* G', F) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c(\mathbf{k}_X))}(\rho_* G', F) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b_{\mathrm{I}\mathbb{R}-c}(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(\mathcal{I}(\rho_* G'), \mathcal{I}(F)) \simeq$$

$$\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G', \mathcal{I}(F)) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{k}_X))}(G, \mathcal{I}(F)).$$

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ is obvious by taking F_W as F'.
$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$ follows by Lemma 4.1 of [4] together with Lemma 3.10. q.e.d.

Corollary 3.12. *Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. The microsupport of F is the closed conic subset of T^*X complementary of the set of points $p \in T^*X$ such that one of the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3.11 is satisfied.*

Proof. It is a consequence of Lemma 4.1 of [4] and Proposition 3.11. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.13. *(i) For $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$, one has $SS(F) \cap T^*_X X = \mathrm{supp}(F)$.*

(ii) Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$, then $SS(\rho^{-1}F) \subset SS(F)$.

(iii) Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_X)$, then $SS(F) \subset SS(R\rho_* F)$.

(iv) Let $F_1 \to F_2 \to F_3 \xrightarrow{+1}$ be a distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. Then for $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

$$SS(F_i) \subset SS(F_j) \cup SS(F_k), \text{ for } j \neq k.$$

Proof. (i) is a consequence of Proposition 4.3 of [4].
(ii) One has $\rho^{-1} \simeq \alpha \circ \mathcal{I}$ and by Proposition 4.3 of [4]:

$$SS(\rho^{-1}F) = SS(\alpha(\mathcal{I}(F)) \subset SS(\mathcal{I}(F)) = SS(F).$$

(iii) follows from (iii):

$$SS(F) = SS(\rho^{-1}R\rho_* F) \subset SS(R\rho_* F).$$

(iv) follows from Proposition 4.3 of [4]. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{Y_{sa}})$. Then:*

$$SS(F \boxtimes G) = SS(F) \times SS(G).$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}(F \boxtimes G) \simeq \mathcal{I}(F) \boxtimes \mathcal{I}(G)$, it follows by Proposition 3.2. In fact, one has:

$$SS(F \boxtimes G) = SS(\mathcal{I}(F \boxtimes G)) = SS(\mathcal{I}(F)) \times SS(\mathcal{I}(G)) = SS(F) \times SS(G).$$

q.e.d.

Proposition 3.15. *Let M be a closed submanifold of X and let j denote the closed embedding $M \hookrightarrow X$. Let $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{M_{sa}})$. Then,*

$$SS(Rj_* G) = j_\pi j_d^{-1}(SS(G)).$$

Proof. Since $Rj_* \simeq Rj_{!!}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ commutes with $Rj_{!!}$, the result follows by Proposition 3.3. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.16. *Let Y, X be real analytic manifolds, $f\colon Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism and $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$. Then*

$$SS(f^{-1}F) = f_d f_\pi^{-1}(SS(F).$$

Proof. It follows by Proposition 3.14. q.e.d.

Proposition 3.17. *Let $F \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{X_{sa}})$ and $G \in D^b(\mathbf{k}_{Y_{sa}})$. Then:*

$$SS(R\mathcal{H}om(q_2^{-1}G, q_1^!F)) \subset SS(F) \times SS(G)^a.$$

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of the proof of Proposition 3.5, using Propositions 5.4.6, 5.4.7, 5.4.5 and 5.2.9 of [9] instead of Propositions 5.3.8, 5.3.10, 5.3.5 and 5.2.9 of [3], respectively. q.e.d.

References

[1] Kashiwara, M.: *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems,* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., **20**, 319-365, (1984).

[2] Kashiwara, M., Oshima, T.: *Systems of differential equations with regular singularities and their boundary value problems,* Ann. of Math., **106**, 145-200, (1977).

[3] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: *Ind-sheaves,* Astérisque, Soc. Math. France, **271**, (2001).

[4] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: *Microlocal study of Ind-sheaves I: micro-support and regularity,* Astérisque, **284**, Soc. Math. France, (2003).

[5] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: *Sheaves on manifolds,* Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., **292**, Springer Verlag, (1990).

[6] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P.: *Categories and sheaves,* Springer, Vol. **332**, (2006)

[7] Kashiwara, M., Schapira, P., Ivorra, F., Waschkies, I.: *Microlocalization of Ind-sheaves,* Birkauser, (2004)

[8] Kashiwara, M., Monteiro Fernandes, T., Schapira, P.: *Microsupport and Cauchy problem for temperate solutions of regular $\mathcal{D}$-modules,* Portugaliae Mathematica, Vol. **58**, Fasc. 4, (2001)

[9] Prelli, L.: *Sheaves on subanalytic sites,* PHD Thesis, University Paris 6, (2006).

Ana Rita Martins
Centro de Álgebra da Universidade de Lisboa, Complexo 2,
Avenida Prof. Gama Pinto,
1699 Lisboa Portugal
arita@mat.fc.ul.pt